Signal detection

NTE.

,••/

Definition of a 'signal'

Academ

"Reported information on a possible causal relationship between an adverse event and a drug, the relationship being unknown or incompletely documented previously. Usually more than a single report is required to detect a potential signal, depending upon the seriousness of the event and the quality of the information"

defined by the **World Health Organisation** (Meyboom et al 1997)

10

"A report or reports of an event with an unknown causal relationship to treatment that is recognised as worthy of further exploration and continued surveillance"

> Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences (CIOMS VI, 2005)

Signal Sources

- Clinical Studies- company sponsored & others, pre and post marketing
- Single cases, case series in aggregate review, PSURs
- Literature, internet, newspapers
- WHO database
- Post marketing queries from prescribers, consumers, other regulatory bodies, ECs, IRBs



Detection

- Large databases collected by companies themselves, regulators or WHO are available
- Data mining and disproportionality analysis are a way to systematically screen spontaneous reports for interesting associations
- Goal is to detect "higher than expected" drugevent frequencies without exposure data
- Latest techniques like Empirical Bayesian Neural network, Proportional Reporting Ratio(PRR) and MGPS (Multi-Item Gamma Poison Shrinker), using exclusive software, have been developed



Factors favouring signal detection

- The clinical event
 - a very low natural frequency
 - characteristic or unusual signs and symptoms
 - occurring in groups of similar patients
 - known to be frequently drug-induced
- Drug exposure

- high frequency
- Adverse Reaction
 - high frequency
 - suggestive time relationship
 - suggestive dose relationship
 - plausible pharmacological and pathological mechanism

Speed of signal detection

- depends on:
 - number of users of the drug
 - frequency of adverse reaction
 - reporting rate
 - quality of documentation



Criteria for Signal Assessment

Quantitative

- strength of association
 - number of case reports
 - statistical disproportionality

Qualitative

Academ

consistency of data

• characteristic feature, pattern, absence of reverse findings

exposure - response relationship

• site, timing, dose - response relationship, reversibility

biological plausibility

pharmacological and pathological mechanisms

Criteria for Signal Assessment (Qual)

experimental findings

- rechallenge, antibodies, drug concentrations, abnormal metabolites
- analogy
 - previous experience with drug, often drug-induced

nature and quality of data

• objectivity of event, validity of documentation, causality assessment



Methodology of quantitative detection

- Information Component
 - Bayesian statistics
- Odds Ratio
- Proportional ADR Reporting Ratio
- Yule's Q
- Poisson

Academ

Chi square

Signal validation

- ask reporter for more details if missing
- ask for opinion from physician/specialist
- causality assessment



Signal strengthening

- seek information from
 - medical literature
 - other data bases e.g. WHO
 - the manufacturer

Academ

- clinical trial records (if available)
- analogy with other related drugs

Absence of supporting data does not imply false signal

Seriousness

- health consequences
 - for individual
 - for public at large
- determining factor for priority setting and speed of investigation



Frequency determination

- estimate population at risk
 - data from manufacturer
 - sample statistics e.g. IMS
 - health insurance systems
 - drug dispensing outlets

- drug importation agencies
- prescription reimbursement systems
- specific drug utilization studie
- determine best and worst case scenario

Effectiveness/Risk Evaluation

• Risk of

no therapy at all (underlying disease)

- alternative non-drug treatments
- alternative drug treatments
- has the benefit/risk situation of drug concerned changed?



Effectiveness/risk Assessment

- Aspects of risk
 - seriousness and severity of reaction
 - duration of adverse reaction
 - frequency of occurrence
- Aspects of benefit
 - seriousness of disease likely improvement.
 - chronicity of disease reduction in duration
 - frequency of disease frequency of improvement



Signal Evaluation

- Signal is prioritised based frequency, seriousness, impact on/risk for patient, company reputation, liabilities and litigations.
- Further evaluation could include
 - Sub group analysis of existing data
 - Advanced data-mining
 - Pharmacoepidemiology
 - Plan a new safety study

- Monitor the signal in all ongoing studies
- Preclinical study in an animal model
 - Pharmacogenetics / Safety biomarker research

Possible outcomes following evaluation

- No action
- Increased monitoring
- Change product information
 - Addition of new event
 - Modification of current wording
 - Addition of a frequency descriptor
- Restrict use

- Withdraw from the market / stop development
- Inform all stakeholders of the change ECs,
 IRBs, doctors, regulatory authorities, licencee
 partners, consumers

Signal Detection Process Flow

